

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

By the foregoing Amendment, claims 1 and 2 have been canceled and claim 3 has been amended to depend from claim 4. Claim 4 indicated allowable has been rewritten in independent form. Claim 5 also indicated allowable likewise has been rewritten in independent form. The Examiner has indicated the formal allowance of claims 7-17. The Examiner has indicated an objection to claim 6 on the grounds that it is a claim dependent upon a rejected base claim. However, review of claim 6 reveals that it is indeed an independent claim. There being no rejection based on the merits of claim 6, applicants believes that the Examiner's comment at the bottom of page 3 identifying claim 6 as a dependent claim and the summary action indicating that claim 6 was only objected to are in error and that the Examiner's intent was to formally allow claim 6 together with the remaining claims 7-17. If that is not the case, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned to the end that the application can be placed in formal condition for allowance.

Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of the claims presently pending in the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: Richard G. Besha
Richard G. Besha
Reg. No. 22,770

RGB:rrl
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22203-1808
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100